

# Peas and Carrots: A process for collaboration amongst health system trauma centers

Stephanie Vega, MBA, BSN, RN, CCRN-K, CSTR; Marissa McLean, MSN, MBA, RN, CEN, TCRN

## INTRODUCTION

- Trauma centers increasingly find themselves caught up in evaluating their “system-ness”.
- At one health system in Colorado, this process of mergers and acquisitions brought three level II and two level III trauma centers together.
- System growth dictated the need for strong trauma representation in all of the facilities.
- The multi-region Trauma Service Line Committee helped to ensure that collective trauma programs had a unified voice. Additional benefits include:
  - A system wide dashboard to track growth and identify opportunities for improvement.
  - Reviewing TQIP benchmark reports.
  - Sharing best practices.
  - Validation of the registry data.
  - Two of the level II trauma centers increased trauma designations to level I centers with zero deficiencies.

## OBJECTIVES

- Describe the benefits a Trauma Service Line committee to an individual trauma program and to a hospital system.
- Describe how to identify key stakeholders. Identifying how a service line can promote best practice and validate data.

## CASE PRESENTATION

- Trauma medical directors and trauma program managers at each facility agreed to system collaboration with meetings that were scheduled monthly beginning in early 2015. Original objectives centered on the standards of practice amongst the trauma programs and benchmarking. This was to ensure consistency and continuity of care within the system.
- A service line dashboard was created looking at measureable outcomes such as: trauma volumes, over and under triage, and transfer patterns. Centers were able to see how they compared to similar trauma centers within the system. Best practices are highlighted through benchmarking.
- This process also ensures:
  - Data is reviewed and validated from the registry each month.
  - Assists the trauma surgeons and senior leadership understand the importance of injury severity coding and justifies FTE required for abstraction.
  - Leadership is able to concentrate outreach efforts and evaluate effectiveness through review of transfer patterns.
  - Publication of a system-wide annual report highlighting trauma system efforts such as at mortality reduction.

UC Health System TACS Dashboard																		
FY 18																		
Metrics	Metrics	Trauma Center	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Rolling FY 19	FY 18	FY 17	
<b>Total # Full Trauma Teams Activated</b> Definition: # of registry PTs requiring highest level of trauma activation	<b>Total # Trauma Pts Transferred In - Within UCH</b> Definition: Trauma PT transfers in within UCH System	MCR																
		UCH-A (A)																
		Mem-C (I)																
		Mem-N (I)																
		PVH																
<b># Full Trauma Teams with ISS &lt; 15</b> Definition: # of registry PTs requiring highest level of trauma activation & ISS <15	<b># Trauma Pts Transferred In - Outside UCH</b> Definition: Trauma PTs transferred into your facility from outside UCH System	MCR																
		UCH-A (A)																
		Mem-C (I)																
		Mem-N (I)																
		PVH																
<b>Total # Limited Teams Activated</b> Definition: # of registry PTs requiring 2nd highest level of trauma activation	<b>Total # Acute Trauma PTs Transferred Out - within UCH</b> Definition: Transferred from your facility to another UCH Facility	MCR																
		UCH-A (A)																
		Mem-C (I)																
		Mem-N (I)																
		PVH																
<b># Limited Teams with ISS &gt; 15</b> Definition: # of registry PTs requiring 2nd highest level of trauma activation & ISS >15	<b>Total # Acute Trauma PTs Transferred Out - outside UCH</b> Definition: Transferred from your facility to a NON - UCH Facility	MCR																
		UCH-A (A)																
		Mem-C (I)																
		Mem-N (I)																
		PVH																
<b>Total Trauma Registry Patients</b> Definition: Total registry patients abstracted meeting CDPHE & NTDB criteria	<b>Total Trauma Admissions</b> (Excluding Isolated Burn Admissions) Definition: Total trauma admits per NTDB & CDPHE criteria	MCR																
		UCH-A (AMC)																
		Mem-C (MHC)																
		Mem-N (MHN)																
		PVH																
<b>Trauma Admissions w/ISS &gt; 15</b> Definition: Total trauma admits per NTDB & CDPHE criteria w/ISS >15	<b>Total # of Trauma Deaths</b> Definition: Total # registry patients who died @ your facility	MCR																
		UCH-A (AMC)																
		Mem-C (MHC)																
		Mem-N (MHN)																
		PVH																

## DISCUSSION

Having a system level committee dedicated to the trauma service line helps ensure growth throughout the system. Patients are able to stay within the system, ensuring continuity of care and consistency of best practices. Additionally, as the system acquires new community hospitals, they can seamlessly be incorporated into the trauma service line model and have additional resources available to them. Future steps include implementation of a TQIP collaborative amongst the trauma centers; shared clinical and operational excellence strategies; and common business modeling with a focus on horizontal care throughout the continuum as well as across the trauma system.

### References

Fojut, R. February 22, 2016. How a trauma system uses Business Intelligence to evaluate protocols, track costs, monitor quality, optimize billing and more. Trauma System News. Retrieved from <https://www.trauma-news.com/2016/02/how-a-trauma-system-uses-business-intelligence-to-evaluate-protocols-track-costs-monitor-quality-optimize-billing-and-more/>

Ettorchi-Tardy, A., Levif, M., & Michel, P. (2012). Benchmarking: A method for continuous quality improvement in health. Healthcare Policy, 7(4), e101-e119.

